IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS McALLEN DIVISION LIZELLE GONZALEZ) (Plaintiff) () () (VS. CIVIL ACTION NO. 7:24-cv-00132 GOCHA ALLEN RAMIREZ,) (ALEXANDRIA LYNN BARRERA,) (RENE FUENTES, and STARR) (COUNTY, TEXAS) (Defendants) (

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ABEL VILLARREAL MARCH 12, 2025

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ABEL
VILLARREAL, produced as a witness at the instance of
the PLAINTIFF, taken in the above-styled and numbered
cause on MARCH 12, 2025, between the hours of
10:10 a.m. and 3:50 p.m., reported stenographically by
DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and
for the State of Texas, at Garza Martinez, PLLC, 202
East Sprague Street, Edinburg, Texas, pursuant to the
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions
stated on the record or attached therein.

BRYANT & STINGLEY, INC.

Harlingen (956) 428-0755

McAllen (956) 618-2366

```
13:00 1
         do, for example, if it's missing -- if I don't think
13:00
         it's specific enough or -- or stuff like that, then --
13:00 3
         then I have, yes.
13:00 4
              Q. Okay. And would you say those are more, like,
13:00
    5
         formal kind of technical problems, or is there -- is
13:00 6
         there ever like a legal problem underlying for a reason
13:00 7
         not to subpoena something?
13:00 8
                  Yes, there was -- there's been times --
13:00
                       THE COURT REPORTER:
                                             Kelly, did you --
13:00 10
                       MS. ALBIN: Yes.
13:00 11
                       THE COURT REPORTER: Can you say it again?
13:00 12
                       MS. ALBIN: I objected, but you can
13:00 13
          answer.
13:00 14
                  I've -- I've refused to sign some subpoenas
13:00 15
         where they're requesting phone records, because even
13:00 16
         though you can get subscriber information through
13:00 17
         subpoenas, under Texas law, you need a search warrant
13:00 18
         to get phone records, and some officers don't know
13:01 19
         that, so they've sent over requests for subpoenas, and
13:01 20
         in that situation, I declined.
13:01 21
                  Okay. In that -- in that situation, would you
13:01 22
         have a conversation with the officer to explain why you
13:01 23
         declined it?
                       In those situations, usually, I have -- I
13:01 24
                  No.
13:01 25
         tell the investigators that we have -- because they're
```

```
kind of -- a lot of the times they're like the middle
13:01 1
13:01 2
         people, so I just tell them, "Hey, explain to this
13:01 3
         agency and make sure you get the word around that they
13:01 4
         can't be requesting these type of subpoenas for that."
13:01 5
                       MS. KOVEL: Okay. All right. Kelly, have
13:01 6
         you received the e-mail?
13:01 7
                       MS. CORNING: In one second.
                                                       Sorry.
13:01 8
                  Okay. And once you have signed the
13:01 9
         application, you said you don't actually give it to
13:01 10
         the -- does a judge have to sign it or a clerk?
13:01 11
                  It depends. If it's an in-county subpoena,
             Α.
13:01 12
         that means that it's going to served within the county,
13:01 13
         a judge does not have to sign it, and it just has to
13:02 14
         get filed with the clerks, and they have to issue the
13:02 15
         subpoena, which they stamp-file it.
13:02 16
                       If it's an out-of-county subpoena, then
13:02 17
         the district judge of that grand jury has to sign it,
13:02 18
         so we sign the application. It goes to the district
13:02 19
         judge. If they sign off on it, then the district clerk
13:02 20
         stamps the subpoena and it goes out.
13:02 21
                  Okay. Would you appear before the judge in
13:02 22
         that circumstance, or is it sort of an automatic
13:02 23
         process?
13:02 24
                  No. It's just the subpoena itself or the
13:02 25
         application goes to the judge's office. We don't
```

```
13:02 1
         appear before them.
13:02 2
                       MS. KOVEL: All right. Kelly, let me know
13:02 3
         when you have the e-mail.
13:02 4
                       THE COURT REPORTER: Say it again.
13:02 5
                       MS. KOVEL: I said Kelly, let me know --
13:02 6
                       THE COURT REPORTER: I mean I missed hers.
13:02 7
                       MS. ALBIN: Yes, I have them.
13:02 8
                       MS. KOVEL: Thank you.
13:02 9
                  Okay. So we're calling the one, Exhibit 1
13:02 10
         that's marked --
13:02 11
                       MS. GARZA: -- 6, yes.
13:02 12
              Q. So starting with the Exhibit No. 1, which is
13:02 13
         marked at the bottom Confidential Exhibit No. 6. Do
13:03 14
         you see this document?
13:03 15
                  Yes, ma'am.
13:03 16
                  And do you recognize this copy?
13:03 17
                  That is my signature. I don't remember this
13:03 18
         particular one. I remember the other one, but this one
13:03 19
         I don't remember.
13:03 20
              Q. Okay. So by "the other one," you're referring
13:03 21
         to Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, which is marked at the bottom
         Confidential Exhibit No. 7. Am I correct?
13:03 22
13:03 23
              A. Yes. That one -- that one -- I remember that
13:03 24
         one. I don't remember this subpoena, but that is my
13:03 25
         signature.
```

13:03 1 Okay. And tell me what you remember about 13:03 2 Plaintiff's Exhibit 2. 13:03 3 This is the one that says "Confidential No. 7"? 13:03 4 Q. Correct. 13:03 5 I remember that -- that for this one, the 13:03 6 sheriff's department had requested a subpoena for the 13:03 7 medical records because they were asking for advice, 13:03 8 and we didn't have nearly enough facts to make any 13:03 9 determination on the case. 13:03 10 Okay. So let's back up a little bit. This --13:03 11 this is a grand jury subpoena regarding medical records 13:04 12 of our client Lizelle Herrera, correct? 13:04 13 A. Uh-huh. 13:04 14 Q. Now she's Gonzalez. Okay? 13:04 15 Α. Yes, ma'am. 13:04 16 And you said this is -- this is a request for 13:04 17 medical records. The front of it says "Starr County 13:04 18 Memorial Hospital," correct? 13:04 19 Α. Yes, ma'am. 13:04 20 Okay. When did you first hear about the 13:04 21 incident involving our client Lizelle Herrera? 13:04 22 Must have been the same day this subpoena was 13:04 23 filed, I would assume, around that time. 13:04 24 Q. And who notified you about it? Who contacted 13:04 25 you first?

BRYANT & STINGLEY, INC.

```
13:04 1
                  The investigator that contacted me I believe
13:04 2
          was Esmer Muniz. I believe she called me.
13:04 3
                  Muniz?
              Q.
13:04 4
              Α.
                  Muniz, yes.
13:04 5
                  She called you on your cell phone?
              Q.
13:04 6
                  I don't believe it was my cell phone.
              Α.
13:04 7
          it was my -- my office phone, because I remember I was
13:04 8
          in my office working on something. And I don't know if
13:04 9
          they just called to see who was there or what, but I do
13:05 10
          remember that I answered the phone in my office, so I
13:05 11
         want to say it was the landline there at the office.
13:05 12
              Q.
                  Okay.
13:05 13
                  Yeah.
              Α.
13:05 14
                  And who is Esmeralda Muniz?
              Ο.
13:05 15
                  She's a former investigator with the sheriff's
13:05 16
          department. She -- she's recently retired.
13:05 17
                  Okay. Did you know her at the -- now, this is
13:05 18
          around January 2022, correct?
13:05 19
              Α.
                  Yes.
13:05 20
                  And did you know her at that time?
              Q.
13:05 21
                  Yes.
              Α.
13:05 22
                  Had you done work with -- with cases -- have
13:05 23
          you worked on cases with her previously?
13:05 24
                  Yes, ma'am.
              Α.
13:05 25
                  Okay. Do you know if she called you directly?
              Q.
```

BRYANT & STINGLEY, INC.
Harlingen (956) 428-0755 McAllen (956) 618-2366

13:05 1 What do you mean directly? Α. 13:05 Do you have a direct line in the DA's office 13:05 3 for your desk phone? 13:05 4 No. No, ma'am. Α. 13:05 5 Okay. Is there just one phone number and 13:05 6 whoever answers answers? 13:05 7 So the way it works is the entire county has a 13:05 8 phone number, and it goes to a centralized -- kind of 13:05 9 like an operator. And then from there, they send you 13:05 10 to the departments. 13:05 11 So there they would forward the phone call 13:06 12 to the front desk there at the DA's office, and then 13:06 13 from there, they would get transferred to whoever 13:06 14 they're looking for there at the DA's office. 13:06 15 Okay. So do you know if Investigator Muniz was 13:06 16 asking for you by name? 13:06 17 I'm not sure, ma'am. 13:06 18 Okay. And do you remember what she said to you 13:06 19 on that phone conversation? 13:06 20 I remember the -- I guess the gist of what she 13:06 21 told me, because it was a while back, but it wasn't a 13:06 22 long conversation. She had -- she had advised me that 13:06 23 they -- I guess the Starr County Hospital -- or Starr 13:06 24 County Memorial Hospital had contacted the sheriff's 13:06 25 department, something about them being concerned or

BRYANT & STINGLEY, INC.

13:06 1 somebody in their department. I don't know if it was 13:06 2 an attorney or somebody was concerned that they hadn't 13:06 3 reported something to law enforcement. 13:06 4 Apparently -- what I remember is that 13:06 5 there was a female that had gone in a few days before, 13:07 6 and I don't know if they had to pump her stomach or 13:07 7 something like that, that she had ingested some --13:07 8 something. They had treated her. She had left. And 13:07 9 then she came back. 13:07 10 What stood out that -- what they told me 13:07 11 was that when she came back that the baby -- or there 13:07 12 was -- oh, sorry. At the beginning that she was 13:07 13 pregnant, that's one of the details they had told me 13:07 14 the first time she went. When she was -- when she came 13:07 15 back the second time that the baby was sticking out of 13:07 16 her. 13:07 17 And when I asked, "What do you mean the baby was sticking out of her," they said, "That's all 13:07 18 13:07 19 they told us. They didn't give us any more details 13:07 20 other than that." So they're asking, "Well, you know, 13:07 21 do we have a case here?" 13:07 22 I told them, "That's not nearly enough

information for me to make the determination was the baby born alive? Were they not?" They didn't -- they didn't know.

BRYANT & STINGLEY, INC.

Harlingen (956) 428-0755

McAllen (956) 618-2366

13:07 23

13:07 24

13:07 25

12.07 1	
13:07 1	Q. Okay. So stepping back, it sounds like
13:08 2	Investigator Muniz was talking about the case more
13:08 3	generally and not at that time specifically about
13:08 4	medical records?
13:08 5	A. Not not at that moment.
13:08 6	Q. Okay.
13:08 7	A. Yeah.
13:08 8	Q. So was she asking, you said, "Do we have a case
13:08 9	here?" She asked you that?
13:08 10	A. Yeah. She was confused because she was like
13:08 11	like basically, like, you know, "This is all we have
13:08 12	right now. Like is there a case here because of the
13:08 13	baby?"
13:08 14	And my question was, "Well, was the baby
13:08 15	born? Under what condition was it born?"
13:08 16	And I remember her telling me that she
13:08 17	
20.00	didn't know because that all the hospital did was the
13:08 18	didn't know because that all the hospital did was the initial report, and then after that, they refused to
	-
13:08 18	initial report, and then after that, they refused to
13:08 18 13:08 19	initial report, and then after that, they refused to give any information, that basically their position was
13:08 18 13:08 19 13:08 20	initial report, and then after that, they refused to give any information, that basically their position was that they had complied with their duties to report, and
13:08 18 13:08 19 13:08 20 13:08 21	initial report, and then after that, they refused to give any information, that basically their position was that they had complied with their duties to report, and after that, they shut down.
13:08 18 13:08 19 13:08 20 13:08 21 13:08 22	initial report, and then after that, they refused to give any information, that basically their position was that they had complied with their duties to report, and after that, they shut down. I do remember asking if there was an
13:08 18 13:08 19 13:08 20 13:08 21 13:08 22 13:08 23	initial report, and then after that, they refused to give any information, that basically their position was that they had complied with their duties to report, and after that, they shut down. I do remember asking if there was an autopsy to see if the child had been born alive, under

```
13:09
    1
         had been cremated. So there was no autopsy.
13:09
    2.
         no nothing to go on there.
13:09
    3
                       So basically, that's when they asked for
13:09
    4
         the grand jury subpoena for the medical records,
13:09
    5
         because they were trying to figure out what happened
13:09 6
         here and if there's any actionable crime that happened,
13:09
   7
         because there was -- there was some possibilities,
13:09 8
         depending on what the circumstances were, but not
13:09
         enough solid facts to -- to know anything for sure.
13:09 10
                  Okay. So did you convey to Investigator Muniz
13:09 11
         that conclusion that you just drew, that there was a
13:09 12
         possibility that there could be a crime?
13:09 13
                  No. What I -- what I told her was that I
13:09 14
         didn't have enough facts to make a determination.
13:09 15
         that's when she asked for the grand jury subpoena for
13:09 16
         the medical record.
13:09 17
                  Okay. Did anyone use the term "abortion" or
13:09 18
         the word "abortion" --
13:09 19
              A. No, ma'am.
13:10 20
              Q. -- in that time?
13:10 21
                  No, ma'am.
              Α.
13:10 22
                  Okay. And when you -- you said she had
13:10 23
          ingested something, did Investigator Muniz say what
13:10 24
         that -- what that thing was at that time in that
13:10 25
         conversation?
```

Harlingen (956) 428-0755

McAllen (956) 618-2366

```
15:42 1
         bonus if she presented this case to the grand jury?
15:42 2
                  No, ma'am.
                              That never happens.
15:42 3
                  In your experience, do ADAs supervise the Starr
15:42 4
         County Sheriff's Office investigators while they're
15:42 5
         conducting their investigations?
15:42 6
                  No, ma'am. They have their own chain of
15:42 7
                    They don't report to us.
15:42 8
              Q. Are you aware of any agreement between the
15:42 9
         district attorney's office that you work for and the
15:42 10
         Starr County hospital to prosecute abortion cases?
15:43 11
                  No, ma'am.
             Α.
15:43 12
                  And as you sit here today, do you even know, in
15:43 13
         fact, whether Ms. Herrera had an abortion or not?
15:43 14
                  I don't. I -- I never reviewed the medical
15:43 15
          records or the reports. So I -- to this day, I still
15:43 16
         don't know what actually happened in this case.
15:43 17
                  Does DA Ramirez require the ADAs to consult
15:43 18
         with him prior to the ADAs presenting a case to the
         grand jury?
15:43 19
15:43 20
                  No, ma'am.
             Α.
15:43 21
                  Do you believe there was a conspiracy amongst
15:43 22
         anyone in the DA's office to prosecute Ms. Herrera?
15:43 23
              A. No, ma'am.
15:43 24
                       MS. KOVEL: Objection. I'm sorry. Can I
15:43 25
         put an objection on the record?
```

District Attorney

Page 12 of 12

office will be filing a motion dismissing the indictment against Ms. Herrera Monday, April 11. prosecuted for the allegation against her. 2022. In reviewing applicable Texas law, it is clear that Ms. Herrera cannot and should not be Yesterday afternoon, I reached out to counsel for Ms. Lizelle Herrera to advise him that my

me that the events leading up to this indictment have taken a toll on Ms. Herrera and her family. Following that oath, the only correct outcome to this matter is to immediately dismiss the District Attorney's office, and in the State of Texas a prosecutor's oath is to do justice. incident would have been a dereliction of their duty. Prosecutorial discretion rests with the To ignore this fact would be shortsighted. The issues surrounding this matter are clearly Although with this dismissal Ms. Herrera will not face prosecution for this incident, it is clear to indictment against Ms. Herrera. investigating the incident brought to their attention by the reporting hospital. To ignore the In reviewing this case, it is clear that the Starr County Sheriff's Department did their duty in

bring this matter to a close. It is my hope that with the dismissal of this case it is made clear that Going forward, my office will continue to communicate with counsel for Ms. Herrera in order to Ms. Herrera did not commit a criminal act under the laws of the State of Texas.

contentious, however based on Texas law and the facts presented, it is not a criminal matter

Respectfully,

229th Judicial District Attorney Gocha Allen Ramirez

